



**Request for Proposal, 14MCO499 INTEGRATED CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
In response refer to RFP No. 14MCO499 Addendum 1 (one)
October 6, 2014**

Gentlemen/Ladies:

Please note that there has been a change made to the original request for proposal. **Midland County has recently purchased New World Systems accounting software that will take place of SunGard Bi-tech.**

Questions have been asked according to the instructions of the RFP and are hereby answered.

1. Will the Court accept a proposal for a solution to address only the jury management system requirements? **No.**
2. Could the Court elaborate on the following statement from page 19 section b item 5 "Vendor solution that is based on Microsoft architecture is required." Is the court referring to the database tier and operating system? **Yes. Please see "IT Requirements" on page 23.**
3. Can you tell me if you will answer questions as they are submitted or when they are due? **We will answer questions as they are submitted.**
4. Are there any other system Midland County will be converting off of and into the new CMS other than the AS400 Net Data system? **No.**
5. Will the TechShare Case Management System for prosecutors stay in place and be interfaced with our proposed solution or will prosecutors also move to our proposed solution and we need to convert from TechShare CMS? **The TechShare Case Management System will remain in place. The prosecutors will not be moving to the proposed solution.**
6. Is the SunGard Bi-tech interface one way where our solution sends information only to SunGard or is there information also passed back from SunGard? Can we get file layouts of what is currently sent to SunGard and list of what information is desired to be sent in the future? **No information is currently being sent between the Case Management System and the financial software. We have viewing access to the system to be able to reconcile with our Accounting Software.**
7. Same questions as #6 for the Archonix public safety software. **The County has not yet determined the extent of the desired interfaces. The goal is to create interoperability between applications and reduce redundant or duplicated paperwork and data entry. The County is requiring all new software applications (including Archonix) to provide an API or**

cooperating with other software manufacturers to create these interfaces. At this time we do not have file layouts nor a specific set of information to be exchanged. All County data will be stored in Microsoft Exchange.

8. Same questions as #6 for the Tiburon software system. The County has not yet determined the extent of the desired interfaces. The goal is to create interoperability between applications and reduce redundant or duplicated paperwork and data entry. The County is requiring all new software applications (including Archonix) to provide an API or cooperating with other software manufacturers to create these interfaces. At this time we do not have file layouts nor a specific set of information to be exchanged. All County data will be stored in Microsoft Exchange.
9. Would the County Prosecutors and/or Public Defenders be interested in being part of a proposed county wide integrated software solution or do they intend to stay on TechShare? They intend to stay on TechShare.
10. Are there any other law enforcement systems from other police departments we need to integrate with besides Tiburon? No.
11. Is the collections agent referred to needing data sent electronically an internal agent that would have access to the proposed software of an outside agency? If outside, do you know what system they use for collections or what data and format they need transmitted? Currently, Midland County does not currently have a collections agent. Such matters are handled within the County.