



RFP 22MCO599

In response refer to RFP NO22MCO599 Addendum 1 and Response to Questions November 18, 2022

Gentlemen/Ladies:

This addendum is being issued to make the following date changes:

- Subsequent Contractor Pre-Bid RFI's Due Date 5pm Thursday December 1, 2022
- Subsequent RFI Responses Due Date 5pm Thursday December 8, 2022
- Bid Due Date 10am Friday January 6, 2023
- Commissioner's Court Award Date Monday January 9, 2023

The following questions have been asked according to the instructions of the bid and are hereby answered:

1. Can Dunaway provide the surface files to calculate cut and fill?
No because the CAD files are not signed and sealed by an engineer. The contractor should not rely on the CAD file, but on the signed and sealed plans.
2. Can Dunaway provide numbers in the form of excavation and embankment instead of Earthwork and haul off?
Continue bidding on the Earthwork and Haul off numbers. The county is considering a change to the bid tab.
3. How should the contractor handle one lane two way traffic? Does the county want lights? Pilot cars? How should it be handled after hours?
The TCP will be revised to a full closure as opposed to one lane two-way traffic. A revision will be provided after TxDOT review.
4. Geotech calls out an extra foot and a half of subgrade prep on either side. Is that reflected in the typical section and if not why?
The reference extra 18 inches is referring to subgrade treatments intended to increase the subgrade stability which is the cement treatment. This option in the Geotech report is an alternative option to the typical soil preparation. The typical soil preparation does not need the extra 18 inches on either side.

5. It appears that the gas line at STA 185+60 will be in the NE corner of the Wing Wall. If it is, how will it be addressed?

The line belongs to Targa. We are in discussions with Targa and they are aware of the potential conflict. The line was not marked for potholing and was not included in the pothole information. The awarded Contractor will be required to pothole this line to determine actual location and depth. If required, revisions to the plans shall be issued at that time.

6. Will it be acceptable to "no-bid" whichever selection we decide is less advantageous? We can write in "See Alternate", or "See Base Bid", on the Item we do not wish to include, so there is no question of intent.

If the alternate bid items in this situation is intended to provide the county the best possible combination of construction methods for the project. If the respondent does not include a bid for either the primary or alternate line items for the alternate, the County will utilize the bid values for the bid upon line items as the respondent's final bid. A bid with both the primary line items and the alternate line items included in the bid will not be given favor, however, the County will inherently have more options to consider with a complete bid during the County's evaluation.