



RFP 24MCO635

In response refer to RFP No. 24MCO635 Response to Additional Questions August 16, 2024

Ladies/Gentlemen,

This addendum includes the following:

- Revised Drawings - 2

This document contains additional questions submitted by prospective bidders and responses to those questions.

1. On the intersection at SCR 1180 & ECR 130 we still don't have the full details on joints and sawing, see attached please. They added the east & west intersection but left out the middle or roadway section details. Is it possible to add this detail?

Please see revised plans.

2. The Concrete Residential Driveway typical section requires 5" minimum. In the past, the County wanted 6". The response was 5". Is this what you want?

Yes, the County wants 5" concrete on residential driveways on this project.

3. The question was what PG asphalt is required in the HMA. The response was Item 310, which is prime. Asphalt is item 300 with many options. The grade of asphalt is identified in the bid item or the plans in TxDOT Projects. Typically PG64-22 has been used in Type D mix.

Recently TxDOT and the City of Midland have gone to PG70-22. What do you want in the HMA?

Binder is to conform to TxDOT spec 301. This covers both PG 64-22 and PG 70-22. Either is acceptable.